

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR DYNAMIC, RULES-BASED PEG
COUNTING

Inventors: Patricia A. Baldwin, Raleigh, North Carolina
David K. Noden, Richardson, Texas
Joseph Yu-Lung Wan, Richardson, Texas

Assignee: Tekelec

Entity: Large Entity

JENKINS, WILSON & TAYLOR, P.A.
Suite 1400, University Tower
3100 Tower Boulevard
Durham, North Carolina 27707
Telephone: 919-493-8000
Facsimile: 919-419-0383

"Express Mail" mailing number ER372405269US

Date of Deposit March 10, 2004

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to Mail Stop Patent Application, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Stacie H. Boswell
Stacie H. Boswell

Description

METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR DYNAMIC, RULES-BASED PEG
COUNTERING

5

Related Applications

This application claims the benefit of U.S. provisional patent application no. 60/453,233, filed March 10, 2003, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

10

Technical Field

The present invention relates to methods and systems for collecting network traffic statistics. More particularly, the present invention relates to methods and systems for dynamic, rules-based peg counting.

15

Background

In telecommunications signaling networks, it is often necessary to count the number of signaling messages that match user-specified criteria. For example, for usage measurements or billing purposes, it may be necessary to count the number of messages of a particular type originating from a particular source and/or being sent to a particular destination. In order to keep track of such counts, network operators define accumulators in

hardware or software associated with signaling link monitoring systems. These accumulators are referred to as peg counters. Each peg counter has a definition and an associated accumulator value. The definition specifies rules for incrementing the accumulator value. For example, the definition 5 may specify that the accumulator value is to be incremented based on detection of messages of a user-specified type and having user-specified parameter values. The accumulator values are also referred to as peg counts.

Conventionally, peg counts have been generated using signaling link 10 probes and software that is hard-coded to generate the peg counts that a particular service provider desires to obtain. In such systems, if the service provider desires to add or change the peg counts being generated, peg count generation must be stopped, the peg count generation software must be modified, and the software must be recompiled and re-loaded into 15 memory of the computer performing the peg counting. Some conventional systems provide a user interface for modifying peg count generation. However, even these systems require that peg counting be stopped while the changes are made. In addition, conventional user-configurable peg count generation systems require that peg counter definitions be specified 20 completely using binary or hexadecimal values, which requires expert knowledge of signaling message parameter fields.

Another problem associated with some conventional peg count generation systems is that peg counts are generated at a central location,

rather than at message collection locations. As a result, entire messages must be sent across a service provider's internal network. Sending copies of all monitored messages across a service provider's network consumes bandwidth and reduces bandwidth available for other services. In addition,

5 aggregating messages at a central location before generating peg counts greatly increases peg count generation time, because the peg counter application must process messages from all of the message collection locations to create each peg count.

Accordingly, there exists a need for improved methods and systems
10 for defining, modifying, and activating signaling message peg counters.

Disclosure of the Invention

The present invention includes methods and systems for dynamic rules-based peg counting. In order to configure peg counting, a user
15 accesses an administration server and creates a peg counter using a rules-based language. The rules-based language includes message types and parameters that are presented to the user in drop-down lists via a graphical user interface. The rules-based language also includes logical operators, such as AND and OR, for combining message-parameter-based peg counter
20 criteria. Once the user creates the peg counter, the peg counter may be downloaded to a plurality of network monitoring site collectors where the peg counting is performed.

Once a site collector receives a peg counter, the site collector stores the peg counter in a peg counter definition table. A peg counter application running on the site collector is also notified of a change in the peg counter definition table. Once the application receives the change notification, the 5 new peg counter definition table is loaded into program memory and used by the peg counter application to generate new peg counter instances.

The site collectors begin incrementing the associated accumulator value in response to detecting messages matching the peg counter definition. More particularly, when a site collector receives a first signaling 10 message matching a peg counter definition, the site collector creates a peg counter instance. The peg counter instance is a dynamically allocated accumulator that stores the number of occurrences of messages that match the peg counter definition. Each peg counter instance may be stored as a field in an in memory database. The field may be indexed by a user-created 15 peg counter identifier, an origination ID, and a destination ID.

For each signaling message, the site collector determines whether the message matches any of the definitions stored in a peg counter definition table. For each peg counter definition that the signaling message matches, it is next determined whether a peg counter instance exists. This step may 20 be performed by extracting the peg counter ID and the origination and destination IDs from the peg counter definition table and searching a peg counter instance table for a peg counter instance matching the definition. If a peg counter instance exists, its associated accumulator is incremented. If

a peg counter instance does not exist, a new instance is created and its accumulator value is incremented.

At user-specified intervals, the site collectors may upload peg counter instances to a data gateway server. Different site collectors may upload 5 instances of the same peg counter. The data gateway server may aggregate peg counter instances based on the combination of peg counter ID, origination ID, and destination ID. When the data gateway server aggregates peg counters, the data gateway server adds the associated accumulator values together.

10 Peg counters stored by the data gateway server may be periodically downloaded to one or more applications. The peg counters delivered to each application may be configurable by the user. For example, the data gateway server may be configured to deliver peg counters associated with queries to a particular database to a billing application. For a network 15 security application, the data gateway server may be configured to deliver peg counters associated with messages that enable or disable signaling links so that the network security application can determine whether the messages exceed a threshold level.

Because the present invention allows peg counters to be created or 20 modified using a user-friendly graphical user interface and a rules-based language, peg counter creation time is greatly reduced over conventional peg counter implementations that require the user to know the binary or hexadecimal values for all message fields. In addition, because the site

collectors can begin using peg counter definitions as soon as they are received and loaded into memory, the time required to activate new or modified peg counters is reduced over hard-coded peg counter implementations that require software upgrades for such changes. Finally,
5 because the present invention distributes peg counter generation among the site collectors, the time required to accumulate peg count values is reduced over implementations in which peg counting is centralized.

Distributed peg counting also reduces unnecessary bandwidth consumption in a service provider's internal network because entire
10 message copies are not required to be sent to a centralized location. In one implementation of the present invention, the only information that is required to be sent across the service provider's internal network is the accumulator value, the peg counter ID, the origination and destination IDs, a timestamp value indicating the time period to which the peg counter applies, a key type
15 value indicating whether the origination and destination IDs are point codes or other types of identifiers, and a value indicating the total number of octets in the messages that were counted..

Accordingly, it is an object of the invention to provide improved methods and systems for defining and distributing network monitoring peg
20 counters.

It is another object of the invention to provide a graphical user interface for facilitating the creation of new peg counters.

Some of the objects of the invention having been stated hereinabove, other objects will be evident as the description proceeds, when taken in connection with the accompanying drawings as best described hereinbelow.

5

Brief Description of the Drawings

Preferred embodiments of the invention will now be explained with referenced to the accompanying drawings of which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of an exemplary operating environment for dynamic, rules-based peg counting according to an embodiment of the
10 present invention;

Figure 2 is a block diagram illustrating a network data collection system for implementing dynamic rules-based peg counting according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 3 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps for defining and
15 distributing rules-based peg counters according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figures 4A and 4B are schematic diagrams illustrating an exemplary graphical user interface for defining a rules-based peg counter where the user has defined an ISUP message peg counter according to an
20 embodiment of the present invention;

Figures 5A-5C are schematic diagrams illustrating an exemplary graphical user interface for defining rules-based peg counters where the

user has defined a peg counter for SCCP messages according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 6 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps for defining rules-based peg counters according to an embodiment of the present invention;

5 Figure 7 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps for aggregating peg counter instances according to an embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 8 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps for delivering peg counts to external applications according to an embodiment of the present
10 invention.

Detailed Description of the invention

As discussed above, the present invention includes method and systems for dynamic, rules-based peg counting. Figure 1 illustrates an exemplary operating environment for dynamic, rules-based peg counting 5 according to an embodiment of the present invention. Referring to Figure 1, an exemplary telecommunications network includes various entities that generate and route signaling messages. In the illustrated example, the network includes a wireless component 100 for generating and routing signaling messages associated with wireless telecommunications, a wireline 10 component 102 for generating and routing signaling messages associated with wireline communications, and an IP telephony component 104 for generating and routing signaling messages associated with IP telephony communications. Wireless component 100 includes a mobile switching center (MSC) 106, a visitor location register (VLR) 108, a signal transfer point (STP) pair 110, and a home location register (HLR) pair 112. MSC 106 originates and terminates calls to and from mobile subscribers. VLR 108 is a database that stores information regarding subscribers roaming in a particular network. STPs 110 route signaling messages between other network entities. HLRs 112 store subscriber records and subscriber location 15 information.

Wireline component 102 includes a service switching point (SSP) 114, an STP pair 116, and a service control point (SCP) pair 118. SSP 114 originates and terminates calls to and from wireline subscribers. STP pair 20

116 routes signaling messages between other network entities. SCP pair **118** includes databases that store data relating to telephony services, such as line information database (LIDB) service, calling name service, number portability service, etc.

5 IP component **104** includes a media gateway controller **120** and media gateways **122**. Media gateway controller **120** controls media gateways **122** to set up calls between end users via IP network **124**. Media gateways **122** handle media stream communications between end users.

In order to collect messages in the network illustrated in Figure 1, a
10 plurality of link monitors **126** may be connected to signaling links at various locations in the network. Link monitors **126** may include link probes that connect to external signaling links that interconnect network elements. For example, if a link monitor **126** is co-located with an STP pair, the link monitor **126** may be connected to signaling links terminated by the STP pair.
15 Exemplary commercially available link monitors suitable for use with embodiments of the present invention are the i2000 and i3000 shelves available from Tekelec of Calabasas, California. Briefly, these link monitors include external link probes that nonintrusively copy signaling messages from signaling links. The link monitors connect to a computing platform that
20 includes a plurality of link interface controllers that interface directly with the link probes and link interface modules that run various link monitoring and traffic simulation applications.

In addition to external link monitors **126**, internal link monitors **128** and associated network monitoring processors **130** may be used to copy and store signaling messages from within network routing nodes, such as STPs, without the use of external probes. An example of a probeless network 5 monitoring system is described in commonly-assigned, copending U.S. patent application no. 10/164,226, filed on June 5, 2002, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Briefly, this network monitoring system includes MSU copy functions located on link interface cards within signal transfer points. The signal transfer points also include 10 network monitoring transport cards that transport messages copied from signaling links to network monitoring processors **130**, which are external to the signal transfer points. Network monitoring processors **130** store copied signaling messages and forward the signaling messages to downstream network monitoring applications.

15 A plurality of site collectors **132** collect signaling messages copied from both internal and external link monitors. Each site collector **132** may include a general-purpose computing platform with a network interface for receiving message copies and delivering peg counters to external applications. Because site collectors **132** may be co-located with the link 20 monitors and are usually located on the same local area network, bandwidth utilization between site collectors **132** and link monitors **126** is not of extreme concern. However, site collectors **132** must communicate information to downstream network monitoring applications, and these applications are

typically not co-located with site collectors **132**. Thus, it is preferable to minimize bandwidth usage between site collectors **132** and downstream network monitoring applications. Accordingly, rather than forwarding complete copies of messages received from the link monitors, site collectors
5 **132** generate peg counter instances locally and forward the peg counter instances to downstream applications. Generating the peg counter instances at the network monitoring location reduces bandwidth utilization in service provider's internal network over centralized peg counting where message copies must be forwarded over the service provider's internal
10 network to the peg counting location. In addition, because peg counter instance generation is distributed, the time required to generate peg counter instances is reduced over centralized implementations.

Although in the example illustrated in Figure 1, link monitors **126** and site collectors **132** are shown as separate entities, the functions provided by
15 these entities can be provided on a single platform. For example, link monitoring and message collection may be provided on any suitable hardware platform, such as a Sun Netra™ platform or a Tekelec TekServer™ platform without departing from the scope of the invention.

Figure 2 illustrates site collectors **132** and other components for
20 dynamic, rules-based peg counting according to an embodiment of the present invention. Referring to Figure 2, each site collector **132** includes a peg counter application **200**, a peg counter rules synchronizer **202**, an MSU database **204**, peg counter definition tables **206**, and peg counter instances

207. Peg counter applications **200** generate and increment peg counter instances **207** based on rules defined in peg counter definition tables **206**. Peg counter rules synchronizers **202** dynamically update peg counter definition tables **206** based on updates made to peg counter definition tables 5 **208** located on administration server **210**. MSU database **204** stores MSUs copied by link monitors. Peg counter instances **207** store peg counter accumulator values and identifiers associated with each peg counter instance..

Administration server **210** includes functionality for defining rules-based peg counters and for distributing the peg counters to site collectors 10 **132**. In the illustrated example, administration server includes a peg counter editor **212**, peg counter definition tables **208**, and a peg counter synchronization server **214**. Peg counter rules editor **212** provides a graphical user interface for allowing users to define peg counter rules using 15 templates having drop down menus and logical operators for easily defining peg counters. Such an interface reduces the need for skilled technicians to define peg counters at the binary level. Peg counter definition tables **208** store peg counters defined using peg counter editor **212**. Peg counter rules synchronization server **214** synchronizes peg counter rules in peg counter 20 definition tables **208** of the administration server with those of site collectors **132**.

Site collectors **132** preferably upload peg counter instances **207** at predetermined intervals to a data gateway server **216**. Data gateway server

216 includes a peg counter aggregator **218**, a peg counter database **220**, and a peg counter formatter/transporter **222**. Peg counter aggregator **218** aggregates peg counter instances received from the site collectors and stores the peg counter instances in peg counter database **220**.

5 Formatter/transporter **222** delivers peg counters to external applications at predetermined intervals. In the illustrated example, the external applications include a usage measurements application **224**, a billing application **226**, and a network security application **228**. A user interface **230** allows users to define extract definition lists **232**, which define the peg counters to be

10 delivered to different applications.

Figure 3 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps for defining and distributing rules-based peg counters according to an embodiment of the present invention. Referring to Figure 3, in step **300**, peg counter editor **212** receives peg counter information from a user via a graphical user interface.

15 The peg counter information may include a name that the user assigns to the peg counter and a peg counter definition. The graphical user interface includes menus and buttons that facilitate peg counter definition. Examples of such a graphical user interface will be described in detail below.

In step **302**, peg counter editor **212** receives a peg counter value list

20 from the user. A peg counter value list may include a list of parameters that are associated with multiple peg counters. For example, a peg counter value list may include a list of OPC and DPC values corresponding to ISUP messages for which it is desirable to implement peg counters. Value lists

may also include SCCP parameters, such as calling and called party addresses. The use of value lists allows multiple peg counters to reference the same value list without requiring the value list to be re-created for each individual peg counter. This further reduces peg counter definition time.

- 5 In step 304, peg counter rules synchronization server 214 downloads new or changed peg counters to site collectors 132. In step 306, peg counter rules synchronization server 214 notifies the site collectors of a change in the peg counter rule set. In step 308, site collectors 132 automatically and autonomously install the new rule set. Steps 306 and 308
10 may be accomplished by setting a flag in a predetermined memory location on each site collector indicating that the peg counters in peg counter definition tables 206 have changed. Site collectors 132 may periodically poll the memory location to determine whether the change notification flag has been set. In response to detecting that the change notification flag has been
15 set, each site collector may load the new peg counter definition tables into program memory accessible by peg counter application 200. Peg counter application 200 may begin using the new peg counter definition tables as soon as the tables have been loaded into program memory. Thus, using the steps illustrated in Figure 3, peg counters can be added, updated, or deleted
20 on the fly without requiring a code change.

Figure 4A is a schematic diagram illustrating a peg counter definition screen that may be presented to a user by editor 212 where the user has defined an ISUP message peg counter. In the example illustrated in Figure

4A, the peg counter definition screen includes some fields that allow the user to select textual representations of message parameter values and other fields in which the user can specify message parameters in binary or hexadecimal format. The fields in which the user may select or specify
5 textual representations include peg counter name field **400**, message type field **402**, instance type field **404**, point code mask field **406**, service indicator field **408**, and a direction field **410**. Peg counter name field **400** stores a user-defined name for the peg counter. This name is preferably descriptive of the messages being counted. For example, a peg counter designed to
10 count ISUP IAM messages may be called "IAMS." The peg counter name assigned by the user along with origination and destination IDs is preferably unique so that instances of the peg counter can be aggregated.

Peg counter message type field **402** allows the user to define the message type associated with the peg counter. For example, peg counter
15 message type field **402** may present the user with textual representations of different SS7 and/or IP telephony message types, such as IAM, TCAP query, SIP INVITE, etc.

Peg counter instance type **404** defines parameters that will be associated with instances of this peg counter. In the illustrated example, the
20 instance type is "point code," indicating that a point code or set of point codes will be used along with the peg counter name to identify an instance of a peg counter associated with this definition. For SCCP messages, peg counter instance type field **404** may allow the user to associate called and

calling party address values with the peg counter instances. For IP telephony signaling messages, peg counter instance type field **404** may allow the user to associate source and destination IP addresses with the peg counter instances.

5 Point code mask field **406** indicates whether one or more segments of the point codes will be included in the peg counter instance. In SS7 networks, point codes include three segments. The first segment identifies the network with which the point code is associated, the second segment identifies the cluster with which the point code is associated, and the third
10 segment identifies the node with which the point code is associated. In the illustrated example, all point code segments are included, so the peg counter instances will be associated with point codes identifying the sending and receiving nodes.

Service indicator field **408** allows the user to select the service
15 indicator associated with the message type. Direction field **410** allows the user to select whether message signaling units (MSUs) in one direction or both directions will be associated with the peg counter instance.

In addition to the fields described above, the peg counter definition screen also includes fields that allow the user to activate the peg counter
20 and define parameter values to be associated with the peg counter. In Figure 4A, these fields include a state field **412**, an MSU parameters field **414**, a comparator field **416**, a value field **418**, and a value list field **420**. State field **412** allows the user to specify the state of the peg counter, i.e.,

whether the peg counter is enabled or disabled. MSU parameters field **414** includes a drop down list that allows a user to select one or more parameters associated with the message type. Comparator field **416** allows the user to select a mathematical operator for comparing the parameters stored in parameters field **414** with the value specified in value field **418** or a value list stored in value list field **420**. Value field **418** allows the user to input parameter values manually, e.g., in decimal or hexadecimal format. Value list field **420** allows the user to select a value list containing multiple user-defined parameter values.

Figure 4B illustrates exemplary comparators that may be stored in comparator field **418**. In the illustrated example, the comparators include mathematical operators, such as "is equal to," "is not equal to," "is in list," and "is not in list." In defining a peg counter, the user may select "OPC" in MSU parameters field **414** and "is equal to" in comparator field **416**. The user may then specify an OPC value, such as 1-1-1, in value field **418** to which the OPC in each received message is to be compared.

The user may combine message parameter comparison equations to be included in the peg counter definition. This combination is facilitated by ADD IF button **422**, ADD OR IF button **424**, remove button **426**, and peg count criteria edit field **428**. ADD IF button **422** allows users to combine message parameter comparison equations using a logical IF operator. The logical IF operator implies a logical AND operator. For example, if the user joins two conditions with the IF operator, both conditions must be true in

order for the peg counter accumulator value to be incremented. ADD OR IF button **424** allows a user to combine message parameter comparison equations using a logical OR operator. If two conditions are ORed, either condition being true will result in incrementing of the peg counter
5 accumulator value. Remove button **426** allows the user to remove previously defined message parameter comparison equations. Peg counter criteria and edit field **428** stores conditions or rules to be included in a peg counter. These conditions or rules include message parameter comparisons joined by logical operators. If peg counter criteria edit field **428** is blank, peg
10 counter instances may be generated for all messages having the same message type as the peg counter, regardless of the message parameters. The final operation in peg counter edit field **428** is "Increment Peg," indicating that if a message matches the conditions, then the peg counter accumulator value is to be incremented.

15 Once the user changes a peg counter definition, the user clicks on submit change button **430**. Clicking on submit change button **430** writes the peg counter to peg counter definition tables **208** on administrative server **212**. This action triggers administrative server **212** to download the peg counters to site collectors **132**, which can immediately begin using the new
20 peg counter, using the steps described above.

Figure 5A is a schematic diagram of a peg counter definition screen that illustrates parameter values that may be presented to the user once the user has selected SCCP as the message type for a peg counter. In Figure

5A, the user has populated fields **400-410** with values for counting SCCP messages. For example, the user has selected “unitdata” for message type field **402** to indicated that only SCCP unitdata messages are to be counted. Instance type field **404** indicates that instances of this peg counter will be
5 identified by global title address (GTA). In service indicator field **408**, the user has selected “ANSI SCCP,” indicating that ANSI SCCP messages are to be counted. In direction field **410**, the user has selected “both,” indicating that messages flowing in both directions on a monitored signaling link are to be counted. State field **412** indicates that the peg counter is initially
10 disabled.

Because the selected message type is SCCP unitdata, some fields and parameters presented to the user may be SCCP-specific. For example, the peg counter configuration screen in Figure 5A includes originating and terminating mask fields **500** and **502** that allow the user to specify the
15 number of digits that will be included in the originating and terminating global title addresses associated with this peg counter. MSU parameters field **414** may also present the user with SCCP-specific parameters, such as called and calling party translation type and subsystem number. Since the SCCP protocol is used to carry TCAP and MAP messages, MSU parameters field
20 may also present the user with TCAP and MAP parameter choices. The remaining buttons and fields in Figure 5A have the same purposes as those described above with respect to Figures 4A and 4B. Hence, a description thereof will not be repeated herein.

.Figure 5B is a schematic diagram of the peg counter definition in Figure 5A illustrating the result of adding peg count criteria to peg count criteria edit field **428**. In the illustrated example, the criteria for SCCP unitdata messages include a called party subsystem number being equal to 5 253 and a called party translation type being equal to ten. If both of these conditions are true, then the peg counter accumulator value is incremented.

Figure 5C is a schematic diagram illustrating a graphical user interface for generating rules-based peg counters in which peg count criteria edit field **428** contains rules defined by the user for counting calling name 10 (CNAM) queries. Referring to Figure 5C, peg count criteria edit field **428** contains a first statement that determines whether the called party translation type is five. The second line in peg counter criteria edit field **428** checks to see whether the TCAP package type is 0xE2. The third statement checks whether the component type is 0xE9. The fourth statement in criteria 15 edit field **428** checks whether the TCAP parameter set contains 0x97. If all of these conditions are true, the CNAM query peg counter accumulator value is incremented.

As stated above, once the user creates a peg counter, the peg counter is stored in peg counter definition tables **208** on administration 20 server **210**. Table 1 shown below illustrates exemplary peg counter definitions that may be stored in peg counter definition tables **208**.

Peg Counter Name	Definition
------------------	------------

ISUP_IAMS	IF IF Then	ServiceIndicator = ISUP MessageType = InitialAddress Increment Peg
ISUP_Releases	IF IF Then	ServiceIndicator = ISUP MessageType = Release Increment Peg
IS41HLR_Query	IF IF IF IF Then	ServiceIndicator = SCCP MessageType = UDT CalledSSN=6 TCAPPkg = 226 CalledTransType = 3 Increment Peg
IS41HLR_Responses	IF IF IF IF Then	ServiceIndicator = SCCP MessageType = UDT CalledSSN=6 TCAPPkg = 228 CalledTransType = 3 Increment Peg
LIDB_CallingCard_Q	IF IF IF IF IF Then	ServiceIndicator = SCCP MessageType = UDT CalledTransType is in list LIDBTranTypeList TCAPPkg = 226 CompType = 233 TCAPParamSet contains 0xDF70 %CCV1 indicator Increment Peg
LIDB_CC_Response	IF IF IF IF IF Then	ServiceIndicator = SCCP MessageType = UDT CalledTransType is in list LIDBTranTypeList TCAPPkg = 226 CompType = 233 TCAPParamset does not contain 0xDF6A % collect accept ind TCAPParamSet contains 0xDF4C % CCAN svc denial ORIF TCAPParamset contains 0xDF60 % PIN # ORIF TCAPParamSet contains 0xDF62 %PIN svc denial ORIF TCAPParamSet contains 0xDF67 % record status ind Increment Peg
SIP INVITE	IF Then	Message Type = INVITE Increment Peg

M3UA	IF Then	Adapter Layer = M3UA Increment Peg
------	------------	---------------------------------------

Table 1: Peg Counter Definitions

In Table 1, the left hand column includes the name for each peg counter. The right hand column includes the peg counter definitions. One simple 5 example of a rules-based peg counter definition illustrated in Table 1 is the ISUP_IAMS peg counter, which counts ISUP IAM messages. The rules for this peg counter are if the service indicator is ISUP and the message type is InitialAddress, then the peg counter accumulator value will be incremented.

Once the peg counters have been defined, the values specified for 10 the parameters in the rules may be converted into binary or hexadecimal format and compared to the corresponding values in received messages. For example “ISUP” for the ServiceIndicator value on Table 1 may correspond to a binary hexadecimal value of 0x04. Similarly, “Initial Address” for message type may be converted to a hexadecimal value of 15 0x01 to indicate an ANSI ISUP message. Allowing the user to define peg counters using names for message types eliminates the need for the user to memorize binary values corresponding to the message types. For other message parameters, the user may specify binary, decimal, or hexadecimal values in defining peg counter rules without departing from the scope of the invention. For example, as illustrated in row 2 of Table 1, the user may 20 specify called party subsystem number, TCAP package type, and called party translation type as decimal values.

As illustrated in Table 1, the message parameter comparison equations are connected by logical operators IF and ORIF to create complex peg counter definitions. For example, in the sixth row in Table 1, a peg counter for a LIDB calling card response is defined. The peg counter for the 5 LIDB calling card response includes multiple parameter comparisons, including SCCP, TCAP, and application level parameter comparisons. Such complex definitions can be easily created using the graphical user interfaces of the present invention, such as those illustrated above with regard to Figures 5A -5C. Thus, by providing an easy to use interface and logical 10 operators that allow users to combine peg counter conditions, the present invention greatly reduces the time to initiate and modify peg counting in a network.

Once peg counters are created and activated, site collectors generate peg counter instances according to user-defined rules. Figure 6 is a flow 15 chart illustrating exemplary steps for generating peg counter instances at the site collectors according to an embodiment of the present invention. Referring to Figure 6, in step 600, each site collector determines whether MSU parameters of a received MSU match any of the peg counter definitions in peg counter definition tables 206. If the parameters do not 20 match any of the peg counter definitions, processing for this message ends and peg counter application 200 returns to step 600 for the next message. In step 602, peg counter application 200 extracts SS7 OPC and DPC values from the message using a particular point code template. For example, if the

message is an ANSI message, peg counter application **200** may utilize an ANSI point code decode template to decode the point codes. If the message is ITU, peg counter application **200** may use an ITU point code decode template. In step **604**, peg counter application **200** determines whether the message point codes extracted in steps **602** pass any point code filters defined by the user. For example, the user may define point code filters to exclude messages from certain nodes or networks from peg counting. If the message does not pass the point code filter, processing for this message ends and control returns to step **600** for the next message.

If the message passes the point code filters, control proceeds to step **606** where peg counter application **200** finds or creates an instance of the appropriate peg counter. If an instance of a peg counter has already been created based on a previously received message, step **606** may include locating that previously created instance. If an instance has not been created, step **606** includes creating a new instance of the peg counter. As indicated above, for SS7 messages, a peg counter instance may be identified by OPC, DPC, and peg counter name. Alternatively, SS7-based peg counter instances may be identified based on SCCP calling and called party addresses. IP telephony peg counters may be identified based on source and destination IP addresses or other identifiers for the calling and called parties or nodes. Once a peg counter instance has been located or created, control proceeds to step **608** where the accumulator value for the peg counter instance is incremented. Steps **606** and **608** may be repeated

for each definition that the message matches in step **602**, so that multiple peg counter instances may be created and/or incremented for the same message.

In order to reduce the processing and storage requirements on site
5 collectors **132**, peg counter instances are preferably uploaded to data gateway server **236** at predetermined intervals. Since the peg counter instances that are uploaded to a data gateway server may come from different network data collection locations, it is preferable that data gateway server **236** aggregate peg counter instances from different locations. Figure
10 7 illustrates exemplary steps that may be performed by peg counter aggregator **218** resident on data gateway server **216** in aggregating peg counter instances. Referring to Figure 7, in step **700**, data gateway server **216** receives peg counters from one or more site collectors. In step **702**, peg counter aggregator **218** determines whether there is a record in peg counter
15 database **220** for the accumulation interval and peg counter instance. If a database record does not exist, control proceeds to step **704** where peg counter aggregator creates a new database record for the peg counter instance and accumulation interval. The database record may store the peg counter identifier, the accumulation interval, the originating and terminating
20 IDs, the accumulator value, and the key type value. If a database record already exists, control proceeds to step **706** where peg counter aggregator **218** adds the accumulator value for the peg counter instance to the accumulator value in the existing database entry. The steps illustrated in

Figure 7 may be repeated for each peg counter instance received from site collectors **132** to create system wide peg counter instances. Aggregating peg counter instances into system wide peg counter instances avoids duplicate records and facilitates interpretation of peg counter data.

5 Once peg counter information is accumulated at data gateway server **216**, the peg counter information is preferably periodically delivered to external applications. Figure 8 illustrates exemplary steps that may be performed by data gateway server **216** in delivering peg counters to external applications. Referring to Figure 8, in step **800**, data gateway server **216**
10 accumulates peg counter instances. In step **802** data gateway server **216** determines whether it is time for a scheduled extract. If it is not time for a scheduled extract, control proceeds to step **804** where data gateway server **216** waits for the scheduled extract time. If, in step **802**, it is determined that
15 it is time for a scheduled extract, control proceeds to step **806** where formatter/transporter **222** formats peg counter instance records that match extract criteria into application specific format.

Extract definition lists for peg counters may be defined by an operator via user interface **232**. Extraction can be based on the following criteria:

- 20 a) All peg counters for all origination and termination values,
b) a list of specific peg counter names, or
c) a list of specific OPC/DPC combinations (optional).

In step **808**, formatter/transporter **222** extracts records that are within the extract date range defined in the extract definition list. In step **810**,

formatter/transporter 222 writes the peg counter records to a predetermined file format. In the illustrated example, the file format is comma separated variable format. In step 812, formatter/transporter 222 writes the peg counter file to the designated external application. This step may be 5 performed using any suitable reliable communications procedure, such as network file system (NFS). Thus, using the steps illustrated in Figure 8, peg counters tailored to each individual application are delivered to the individual application.

Thus, as described above, the present invention includes methods 10 and systems for dynamic, rules-based peg counting. A user defines peg counters using a graphical user interface and a rules-based language. The peg counters are downloaded to site collectors, which begin using the peg counters on the fly without requiring time-consuming software upgrades. Peg counter instances are uploaded to a data gateway server at user- 15 defined intervals. The data gateway server aggregates peg counter instances that relate to the same peg counter and time interval. Thus, the present invention consumes less network bandwidth and decreases peg counter generation time over centralized approaches.